

Project Data Sheet

JRAMS

Version 10.0

Date: June 18, 2009

RANK 1 EIS # 5, 11, 82

Project EPM ID:	
Project Sponsor:	Bernard Warner
Project Manager:	Amy Seidlitz
Start Date:	11/15/2008
Est. End Date:	2/1/2011
Updated By:	Ed Lacroix

Project Objective Statement

Develop a centralized system which houses the assessment and reassessment information related risks and needs of all youths under DJJ jurisdiction, along with an objective method to utilize the assessment/reassessment information in a comprehensive manner for classification, placement, treatment prioritization and case planning.

Project Tradeoff Matrix

	Not Flexible	Somewhat Flexible	Most Flexible
Resources	X		
Schedule	X		
Scope	X		

Budget

Funding Source:	Program Redirected Funds
Expended to Date:	N/A
Project Budget:	N/A

Deliverables

1. Project Management Documentation
2. System Requirements/Design Specification
3. Application Development and Maintenance
4. Implementation
5. Test Plan and Test Scripts
6. Technical Documentation and Training Material
7. 3 year Maintenance and Support

Major Milestones

Milestone	Planned	Actual
Issue Request for Proposals	11/1/2009	
Contract Award	4/1/2010	
Development Completed	11/1/2010	
User Acceptance	12/30/2010	
Training Completed	1/15/2011	
System Implementation	2/1/2011	
PIER Review	2/1/2012	

NOTE: ORBIS service with YASI is currently being used as an interim solution for this project. Discussions with Dr. J.C. Arguello indicated current manual use of MAYS: II complies with the Farrell Reform.

Dependencies/Constraints

1. OC/O Approval
2. Filling of Vacancies and new positions
3. Continued and ongoing funding
4. HIPPA Compliance

Project Core Team Members

Team Member	Role
Ed Lacroix	Technical Project Manager
Ed Wiebe	Senior Programmer
Sirisha Gulapali	Staff Programmer

Customer Benefits

1. Replacement of Temporary YASI system
2. Compliance with Farrell Reform
3. Centralized assessment system

Functional Requirements

1. The solution must allow DJJ staff to input assessments and reassessments throughout the state and throughout each youth's jurisdiction.
2. The solution must allow for the most recent assessment/reassessment to be available for update.
3. The solution must also allow DJJ staff to capture classification, placement, treatment prioritization and case planning data throughout the state.
4. The classification and placement data should include assessment/reassessment and treatment results, demographic information, policy and legal requirements into a set of objective entrance and exit criteria.
5. The JRAMS solution must enable entrance and exit criteria for each unit type to be entered, which is tied to assessment and reassessment results.
6. The JRAMS system must allow for reports to show how often overrides occur, and for what reasons.
7. The solution must automatically establish a case file in the automated system for new youths.
8. The solution must allow for reports to be run on a routine basis to determine which youth meet criteria for (See FSR for complete requirements)

Issues/Concerns/Risks

1. JRAMS closely resembles the COMPASS system being used by Adult programs and continues to be questioned why the COMPASS Youth Assessment can't be used which would be an SPR to the existing ARNET project and create standardization of IT systems within CDCR.
2. Although internal redirection of funds will be used, funding must be continuing and ongoing.
3. Required EIS positions established for this project must be filled prior to moving forward. There are no positions available for redirection other than those identified.
4. Identified redirected positions are vacant with delays in hiring.
5. Existing ORBIS contract has time limitations that will result in loss of data.
6. Court mandate does not specify an IT solution nor does it specify a date for compliance.
7. Inability to fill vacancies slated for project redirection due to budget restraints has occurred. No staff available other than analysis and project management.

Project Data Sheet

JRAMS

Version 10.0

Date: June 18, 2009

RANK 1 EIS # 5, 11, 82

Progress update

1. FSR denied by the Office of the Chief Information Officer (OCIO).
2. Evaluation of North Pointe Compass solution for juveniles scheduled to comply with OCIO recommendations as a possible integrated solution.
3. Detailed explanation of why COMPAS Youth Assessment can't be used must be accomplished before OCIO will consider approving an FSR.
4. 6/9/09 – Joint discussions regarding COMPAS evaluation produced no reportable statement that it could not be used. Investigation on the continuing use of the ORBIS YASI system with the ability to secure and access our data for in-house reporting is being conducted.