1 2 3 4 5 6	PRISON LAW OFFICE DONALD SPECTER #83925 SARA NORMAN #189536 KELLY KNAPP #252013 1917 5th Street Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704 Email: dspecter@prisonlaw.com	
7 8 9 10	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP MATTHEW RAWLINSON #231890 140 Scott Drive Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 328-4600 Facsimile: (650) 463-2600 Email: matthew.rawlinson@lw.com Email: patrick.snyder@lw.com	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff DAVID PORTER	
13 14	(additional counsel listed on final page)	
1.5	SUPERIOR COURT FOR T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15		
16	COUNTY OF	SACRAMENTO
16 17	DAVID PORTER,	
16	DAVID PORTER, Plaintiff,	SACRAMENTO
16 17 18 19	DAVID PORTER, Plaintiff, v.	SACRAMENTO CASE NO. 06AS03654
16 17 18 19 20	DAVID PORTER, Plaintiff, v. VERNE SPEIRS, Chief Probation Officer, County of SACRAMENTO and DAVID	SACRAMENTO CASE NO. 06AS03654
16 17 18 19 20	DAVID PORTER, Plaintiff, v. VERNE SPEIRS, Chief Probation Officer, County of SACRAMENTO and DAVID GORDON, Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools,	SACRAMENTO CASE NO. 06AS03654
16 17 18 19 20 21	DAVID PORTER, Plaintiff, v. VERNE SPEIRS, Chief Probation Officer, County of SACRAMENTO and DAVID GORDON, Sacramento County	SACRAMENTO CASE NO. 06AS03654
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DAVID PORTER, Plaintiff, v. VERNE SPEIRS, Chief Probation Officer, County of SACRAMENTO and DAVID GORDON, Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools,	SACRAMENTO CASE NO. 06AS03654
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	DAVID PORTER, Plaintiff, v. VERNE SPEIRS, Chief Probation Officer, County of SACRAMENTO and DAVID GORDON, Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools,	SACRAMENTO CASE NO. 06AS03654
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DAVID PORTER, Plaintiff, v. VERNE SPEIRS, Chief Probation Officer, County of SACRAMENTO and DAVID GORDON, Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools,	SACRAMENTO CASE NO. 06AS03654
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DAVID PORTER, Plaintiff, v. VERNE SPEIRS, Chief Probation Officer, County of SACRAMENTO and DAVID GORDON, Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools,	SACRAMENTO CASE NO. 06AS03654

	INTRODUCTION
A.	Plaintiff David Porter ("Plaintiff") initiated this action as a taxpayer pursuant to
California Co	de of Civil Procedure sections 525, 526a and 1060. Subsequently, on September
10, 2008, Plai	ntiff filed a Fourth Amended Complaint For Injunctive and Declaratory Relief
("Amended C	omplaint").
B.	This action is directed against the Chief Probation Officer of Sacramento County,
who is designa	ated by state statute as operator of the Sacramento County Juvenile Detention
Facilities. The	e Sacramento County Department of Probation is referred to herein as "Probation."
Plaintiff's Am	ended Complaint asserts six causes of action against the Probation Chief alleging

that conditions in Sacramento's Warren E. Thornton Youth Center, Youth Detention Facility (juvenile hall), and the Carson Creek Boys Ranch violate state law. C. The action is also directed against Defendant David Gordon ("Defendant") who is the Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools and the chief executive officer of the Sacramento County Office of Education. Defendant Gordon, in his official capacity as Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools, and the Sacramento County Office of Education

operate the schools in the Sacramento Juvenile Detention Facilities, on behalf of the Sacramento 16 County Board of Education. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint asserts two causes of action 17

against Defendant Gordon alleging that he is operating the Esperanza Junior/Senior High School 18

in the Warren E. Thornton Youth Center², and the El Centro Junior/Senior High School in the 19

Youth Detention Facility (juvenile court schools) in a manner that does not meet the educational

requirements mandated by law. This Settlement Agreement resolves Plaintiff's claims against

22 23

24

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25 Superintendent of Schools, the duties and obligations of this Settlement shall apply for the term of the Settlement to any successor to the position of Sacramento County Superintendent of 26 Schools.

¹ The duties and obligations of this Settlement are placed on the Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools in his or her official capacity and not in his or her individual capacity. This Settlement specifically refers to Defendant Gordon, the Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools at the time this action was commenced. If and when Mr. Gordon is no longer

² On July 1, 2009, the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors closed the Warren E. Thornton Youth Center and its school (Esperanza Junior/Senior High School) for budget reasons.

,)		
4	۵		,	

Defendant Gordon, and any related claims against the Sacramento County Board of Education and the Sacramento County Office of Education involving the Sacramento County juvenile court schools.

D. On October 3, 2008, Defendant Gordon duly filed his Answer to the Fourth Amended Complaint, denying the material allegations and asserting affirmative defenses. Defendant Gordon expressly denies any liability or wrongdoing whatsoever, but has agreed to enter into this Settlement, without admitting liability, to avoid the extensive time and further costs of litigation and as a compromise resolution to fully and finally settle and discharge all claims asserted in the Amended Complaint. Plaintiff and Defendant Gordon (the settling parties) hereby stipulate to the terms detailed in this Settlement Agreement in order to compromise and fully and finally settle the dispute between them relating to the facts and claims alleged in the Amended Complaint. Nothing herein shall constitute or be used as evidence of any admission of wrongdoing, violation of law, or liability by Defendant, the Sacramento County Board of Education, or the Sacramento County Office of Education.

SETTLEMENT TERMS

1. PRELIMINARY EDUCATION PLANS

Sacramento County Office of Education shall develop an adequate preliminary education plan for all youth detained in the juvenile hall within 5 school days of the student's arrival to the juvenile hall. The following procedure shall be used to determine whether the Sacramento County Office of Education staff is developing adequate preliminary education plans for youth: During inspections of the juvenile court schools, Plaintiff's counsel may randomly select a reasonable number of students in various housing units. With written consent of the student's parent, guardian, or educational representative, Sacramento County Office of Education shall produce the preliminary education plan. In the event a student's parent, guardian, or educational representative does not consent, the student's name will be redacted or otherwise omitted to protect student privacy / confidentiality.

2. MINIMUM MINUTES OF EDUCATION

Sacramento County Office of Education will comply with the minimum school day requirements of Education Code section 48645.3 and SBx3 4 (Statutes of 2009).

Sacramento County Office of Education will provide Plaintiff's counsel with written evidence that El Centro Junior/Senior High School has offered a minimum school day as set forth in Education Code section 48645.3 and SBx3 4 (Statutes of 2009).

3. OVERCROWDING

Sacramento County Office of Education shall not deny educational instruction to any student housed in Sacramento County juvenile hall on the basis of overflow or lack of classroom space. Sacramento County Office of Education will provide Plaintiff's counsel with written evidence of compliance with this provision.

4. REQUIRED COURSE OF STUDY

Sacramento County Office of Education shall provide in the juvenile court schools the course of study required by Education Code sections 51014, 51200-51220.

Sacramento County Office of Education will provide adequate documentation showing that the courses of study actually provided to all students at El Centro Jr./Sr. High School comply with State content standards.

5. SUSPENSIONS FROM SCHOOL

Sacramento County Office of Education shall follow Education Code sections 48900 et seq. when suspending students at El Centro Jr./Sr. High School, including Education Code sections 48900.5, 48910, and 48911. Sacramento County Office of Education shall provide adequate documentation to Plaintiff that its suspension policies and practices comply with applicable law.

6. EDUCATING STUDENTS ISOLATED IN ROOM CONFINEMENT

Room Confinement or Administrative Room Confinement is a procedure where minors are segregated by Probation. For students who are unable to attend school because they have been placed on Room Confinement or Administrative Room Confinement, if Probation delivers the student to the day space, the Sacramento Office of Education shall provide that student with class assignments and individual instructional assistance for a time period of not

1 | 10 | 2 | S | 3 | iii | 4 | t | 5 | iii |

less than 20 minutes once per ½ school day during regular school hours, provided that the Sacramento County Office of Education is not obligated to provide such class assignments or instructional assistance if the student's presence outside of his or her room would be a danger to themself or others. Sacramento County Office of Education shall provide documentation that it is in compliance with this provision.

7. Temporary Suspension During an Emergency.

Probation is responsible for the operation of the Juvenile Detention Facilities and the conditions of juvenile confinement in these facilities. Nothing in this Settlement prevents Defendant from temporarily suspending compliance with all or any part of the Settlement as may be necessary during an emergency. Defendant shall advise Plaintiff's counsel of any such suspension in writing within 10 days of the temporary or permanent suspension, describing which portion(s) of this Settlement was/were suspended and the reasons therefor. Plaintiff may object to any suspension of this Settlement and invoke the dispute resolution procedure set forth in Paragraph 13, except that emergencies declared by Probation shall be resolved between Plaintiff's counsel and Probation.

8. Dismissal with Prejudice and Court's Continuing Jurisdiction.

Within ten business days after the date on which this Settlement Agreement is signed, Plaintiff shall file all necessary papers for entry of a final judgment dismissing the action with prejudice. Plaintiff's counsel will promptly mail a file-endorsed copy of the filed dismissal papers to Defendant's counsel. The settling parties agree that this Settlement is subject to the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 and that the Sacramento County Superior Court (Court) shall retain jurisdiction over the parties to enforce, construe, and apply the terms of this Settlement Agreement and decide any dispute arising under it.

9. Monitoring and Term of Settlement. In order to monitor and enforce compliance with this Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff's counsel shall be entitled to visit classrooms, interview students and staff in a manner that minimizes disruption to classroom instruction and students' education, and/or be provided all of the documentation specified in the

proceeding paragraphs of this Settlement Agreement up to three times per year on mutually convenient dates and times during the two years following execution of this Settlement Agreement. Pursuant to Education Code section 49075, Plaintiff's counsel shall obtain appropriate written consent before reviewing student educational records as referenced above.

- 10. No Admissions. Neither the fact of this Settlement nor any statements or claims contained herein shall be used in any other case, claim or administrative proceeding, except that Defendant, the Sacramento County Board of Education, the Sacramento County Office of Education, and their employees and agents may use this Settlement and any statement contained herein to assert issue preclusion or *res judicata*.
- 11. Confidentiality. Confidential Information exchanged for purposes of monitoring compliance with this Settlement shall be subject to the provisions of the Protective Order attached hereto as Exhibit A, which is expressly incorporated into this Settlement.
- 12. Full and Final Release. Subject to paragraphs 13 and 14 below, Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel hereby release, acquit and forever discharge Defendant Gordon, the Sacramento County Board of Education, and the Sacramento County Office of Education from any and all claims, demands, actions, causes of action, suits, obligations, controversies, expenses, costs, and fees of any type or nature whatsoever, in equity or at law, by statute or common law, which have been or could have been alleged in this action.
- resolve informally any dispute that may arise relating to this Settlement or any request for a modification or clarification of any portion of this Settlement. Any party may begin this informal dispute resolution process by written notice to the opposing party. If Plaintiff's counsel believes there is a violation of the Settlement Agreement by Defendant Gordon, Plaintiff agrees first to notify Defendant Gordon and give him a reasonable time to cure. If there is no agreement on the cure, then the parties agree to do a good faith mediation lasting at least for one half day and not more than one full day with a mutually acceptable mediator. The parties are to split the cost of the mediator. If the mediation is unsuccessful then the Plaintiffs may proceed to

recitals.

21

1	19. Each settling party acknowledges and declares that it has read this		
2	Settlement Agreement in its entirety, that it knows and understands the contents of the		
3	Agreement, that it fully understands and appreciates the words and terms and effect of this		
4	Agreement, and that this Agreement has been executed freely, knowingly, and voluntarily.		
5	20. The undersigned and their counsel represent that the party signing this		
6	settlement has the full authority to execute this Settlement Agreement on each of the party's		
7	respective behalf and to bind the parties.		
8	21. The settling parties agree that this Settlement Agreement shall be		
9	effectuated through a judgment of dismissal with prejudice subject to continued court jurisdiction		
10	as set forth in paragraph 8 above. The judgment shall become final for all purposes upon entry		
11	of judgment, and the settling parties waive any right to appeal or to seek review of this judgment		
12	by a higher court.		
13	Wherefore, the undersigned, being duly authorized, agree to be bound by the terms of this		
14	Settlement Agreement and have executed this Settlement Agreement on the dates shown below.		
15	SO STIPULATED:		
16	Dated: December 23, 2009 Dated: December, 2009		
17	(1) (Dx) 111/9/4		
18	David Porter, Plaintiff David Gordon, Sacramento County		
19 ·	Superintendent of Schools, Defendant		
20			
21	€		
22			
23			
24	,		
25			
26			
262728			

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2	PRISON LAW OFFICE	Trujillo & Vinson
3	Dated: December <u>27</u> , 2009	Dated: December 28, 2009
4		
5	By: Pon let Seetly	By
6	Donald Specter Counsel for Plaintiff	Phillip A. Trujillo Counsel for Defendant
7	(additional counsel)	
8	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP	
9	MONTY AGARWAL #191568	
10	275 Battery Street, Suite 2700 San Francisco, CA 94111	
11	Telephone: (415) 356-3000 Facsimile: (415) 356-3099	
12	Email: monty.agarwal@aporter.com	
13	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP	
14	DIANE BARKER #245779 Three Embarcadero Center	
15	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000	
16	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286 Email: diane.barker@bingham.com	
17	CHAVEZ & GERTLER LLP	
18	MARK A. CHAVEZ #90858 42 Miller Avenue	
19	Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 381-5599	
20	Facsimile: (415) 381-5572 Email: mark@chavezgertler.com	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		[PROPOSED] SETTLEMENT

1	EXHII	BIT A
2		
3		
4	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	
5	COUNTY OF SA	ACRAMENTO
6		
7	DAVID PORTER,	Case No.: 06AS03654
8	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
9		SIII OLATED I KOTECTIVE OKDEK
10	V.	
11	VERNE SPEIRS, Chief Probation Officer of Sacramento County, DAVID GORDON, Sacramento County Superintendent of Schools,	
12	Defendants.	¥
13	Detendants.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	e e	
27		
28	Ti .	[PROPOSED] SETTLEMENT

Upon the agreement of Plaintiff David Porter and Defendant David Gordon (referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties"), the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:

1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

The Parties have entered into this Settlement, which will resolve the above-captioned matter with respect to Defendant Gordon. The Settlement provides for ongoing efforts to improve the education services at the schools in Sacramento County juvenile detention facilities. The Settlement will permit Plaintiff's counsel of record in this matter, and their representatives, to monitor compliance with the Settlement.

To effectively perform the tasks and duties required under the Settlement, the Parties have agreed that, with appropriate written consent, Plaintiff's counsel of record, and their representatives, should have access to certain facilities, books, records, staff and students. Defendant has agreed to make available to Plaintiff's representatives this information for the time period set forth in the Settlement. This Stipulated Protective Order governs the exchange of such information as set forth in the Settlement so as to ensure that any confidentiality of such records and information is maintained.

2. SCOPE

The provisions of this Stipulated Protective Order apply to any information disclosed to the Parties under the terms of the Settlement that is subject to confidentiality under California constitutional, statutory, or regulatory law, including but not limited to California Welfare & Institutions Code section 827, and Education Code sections 49061 et seq. and also applies to any information copied or extracted therefrom, as well as all copies in any form whatsoever, whether paper or electronic, and any other media, excerpts, summaries, or compilations ("Protected Material(s)").

3. DURATION

This Stipulated Protective Order shall be continuous with the duration of the Settlement. Thereafter, any confidential materials subject to this Stipulated Protective Order shall be returned to the Sacramento County Office of Education or destroyed, with the destroying party certifying in writing as to the destruction.

4. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

- 4.1 Each Party that discloses information or items for protection under this Stipulated Protective Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under an appropriate rule of law. A designating Party must take care to designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify, such that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not unjustifiably designated as Protected Materials. If it comes to a designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection, such party must promptly notify all other Parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.
- 4.2 <u>Manner and Timing of Designations</u>. Material that qualifies for protection under this Stipulated Protective Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced. For information in documentary form a disclosing Party shall in some written manner indicate or affix the legend "Confidential" at the top of each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the designating Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins). For information produced in some form other than documentary, and for any other tangible items, the disclosing Party shall affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "Confidential." If only portions of the information or item warrant protection, the producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portions.

1	
2	des
3	Par
4	
5	
6	act
7	ass
8	acc
9	aut
10	this
11	
12	Pro
13	pur
14	edu
15	pro
16	
17	Pro
18	to a
19	
20	
21	to a
22	Par
	1

4.3 <u>Inadvertent Failures to Designate</u>. Should a disclosing Party inadvertently fail to designate Protected Materials, the Party may correct such mistake by timely notifying all other Parties and producing copies of the Protected Materials marked with the appropriate designation.

5. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

- 5.1 <u>Person Authorized To Use Protected Material</u>. Plaintiff's counsel of record in this action and their in-house staff and vendors may access and use the Protected Materials. Persons assisting in any dispute resolution proceeding pursuant to section 13 of the Settlement may access and use the Protected Materials. Prior to being given access to Protected Material, any authorized person must first acknowledge and sign the "Confidentiality Undertaking" attached to this Stipulated Protective Order.
- 5.2 <u>Use Must Be For Purpose of Fulfilling Settlement</u>. A person authorized to access Protected Material pursuant to this Stipulated Protective Order may only use such Materials for purposes of furthering the goals of this Settlement, including, but not limited to reviewing education services at the juvenile court schools, making recommendations, and monitoring progress and compliance with the Settlement.
- 5.3 <u>No Further Dissemination</u>: Neither the Protected Materials nor any portion of the Protected Materials shall be made attachments to any other documents or released or published to any non-authorized person.

6. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

If a Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, Protected Material has been disclosed to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all copies of the Protected Material, and (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order.

26

23

24

25

27

CONFIDENTIALITY UNDERTAKING

2	
3	I,, hereby acknowledge that:
4	(a) My current employer is The address of my
5	current employer is My
6	telephone number is
7	(b) My current occupation or job description is:
8	·
9	(c) I have received and carefully read the Stipulated Protective Order dated
10	, and I understand its provisions. I will protect Protected Material disclosed to me
11	and will not disclose it to anyone not qualified under the Protective Order. In addition, I
12	understand that I must abide by all of the provisions of the Protective Order. I will undertake to
13	ensure that those working under my supervision abide by these requirements.
14	(d) At or before the termination of the Settlement in this matter, I will return
15	to the Sacramento County Office of Education all documents and other materials, including
16	notes, computer data, summaries, abstracts, or any other materials, containing or reflecting the
17	Protected Materials that have come into my possession or destroy such Protected Materials.
18	(e) I understand that I am subject to the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of
19	California, County of Sacramento, for purposes of enforcing the Protective Order, and I further
20	understand that if I violate the provisions of the Protective Order, I will be in violation of a Court
21	Order.
22	
23	
24	
25	Date Signature
26	
27	
28	