 DONALD SPECTER (SBN 83925) KELLY KNAPP (SBN 252013) PRISON LAW OFFICE 1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Fax: (510) 280-2704 	
 MAUREEN P. ALGER (SBN 208522) MONIQUE R. SHERMAN (SBN 227494) COOLEY LLP Five Palo Alto Square 2000 El Camina Baal 	
 8 3000 El Camino Real 9 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155 9 Telephone: (650) 843-5000 	
 10 11 [ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON NEXT PAGE] 	
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14QUENTIN HALL, SHAWN GONZALES, ROBERT MERRYMAN, DAWN SINGH, and BRIAN MURPHY, on behalf of themselves andCase No. 1:11-CV-02047- CLASS ACTION	LJO-BAM
16all others similarly situated,CONSENT DECREE	
Plaintiffs, 17 v.	
18 COUNTY OF FRESNO	
19 Defendant.	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	

CONSENT DECREE

Case 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 6 of 58

1 2 3 4 5 6 7		MARY KATHRYN KELLEY (SBN 170259) SHANNON SORRELLS (SBN 278492) COOLEY LLP 4401 Eastgate Mall San Diego, CA 92121-1909 Telephone: (858) 490-6000 MELINDA BIRD (SBN 102236) MONISHA COELHO (SBN 219233) DISABILITY RIGHTS CALIFORNIA 350 South Bixel Street, Suite 209
8		Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 213-8000
9		Fax: (213) 213-8001
10	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT	
11		MICHAEL G. WOODS (58683)
12		MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP
13		5 River Park Place East Fresno, CA 93720
14		Telephone: (559) 433-1300
15		Fax: (559) 433-2300
16		[ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON CAPTION PAGE]
17		····· ································
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

¢ase 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 7 of 58

2 Introduction

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

 The parties enter into this Consent Decree to address alleged deficiencies in conditions of confinement in the Fresno County Jail as pled in plaintiffs' amended complaint. The parties to this stipulated consent decree are plaintiffs Quentin Hall, Shawn Gonzales, Robert Merryman, Dawn Singh, and Brian Murphy, and the class of prisoners they represent, and the Defendant County of Fresno (Defendant).

The original complaint was filed by plaintiffs on December 13, 2011, and an 2. 9 10 amended complaint was filed on January 25, 2012. The amended complaint alleges that the 11 County of Fresno is failing to provide minimally adequate health care and to reasonably protect 12 prisoners from injury and violence from other prisoners as required by the Eighth and Fourteenth 13 Amendments to the United States Constitution, as well as failing to provide reasonable 14 accommodations to prisoners with disabilities in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act 15 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. On June 1, 2012, Defendant filed its answer to the 16 17 amended complaint denying the material allegations and asserting affirmative defenses. The 18 parties thereafter began class certification discovery. The parties subsequently agreed to suspend 19 discovery for the purpose of settlement negotiations. Defendant continues to deny plaintiffs 20 allegations but has entered into this Consent Decree, without admitting liability, as a compromise 21 resolution of the issues described in the amended complaint and this Consent Decree. Plaintiffs 22 and Defendant hereby stipulate and consent to the injunctive relief detailed below in order to 23 24 compromise and settle the disputes between them relating to the facts and claims alleged in the 25 amended complaint. Nothing herein shall constitute or be used as evidence of any admission or 26 wrongdoing or liability of Defendant, its agents or employees.

27 28

3. Nothing in this Consent Decree prevents Defendant from temporarily suspending compliance with all or any part of the Consent Decree as may be necessary during an emergency.

CONSENT DECREE

Case 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 8 of 58

Defendant shall advise plaintiffs' counsel of any such suspension in writing within 10 days of the temporary or permanent suspension, describing which portion(s) of this Consent Decree was/were suspended and the reasons thereof. Plaintiff may object to any suspensions of this Consent Decree and invoke the dispute resolution procedure set forth in paragraph 18.

- To aid in settlement negotiations, the parties agreed to jointly hire three experts to 4. 7 develop recommendations to remedy the alleged constitutional and statutory violations identified 8 by plaintiffs. Each of these experts conducted extensive tours and reviews of the jail facilities and 9 10 policies and procedures. They drafted reports that described their findings and recommendations. 11 The parties further agreed that plaintiffs' counsel would conduct a tour of the jail facilities and 12 review policies and procedures regarding accommodations for prisoners with disabilities. 13 Plaintiffs' counsel completed a report that described their findings and recommendations to 14 remedy alleged violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and Section 504 of 15 the Rehabilitation Act that they identified during their tour and review. The parties agreed that 16 17 the experts' and plaintiffs' counsel's reports would establish a working framework for the 18 negotiation of this Consent Decree. Through this Consent Decree, Defendant agrees to implement 19 the measures set forth in the Remedial Plan attached as Appendix A subject to monitoring by 20 plaintiffs' counsel, evaluation by Court experts, negotiation between the parties, and if necessary, 21 enforcement by the Court as set forth in this Consent Decree. 22
- 23

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

Each party to this Consent Decree was represented by counsel during its 5. 24 negotiation and execution.

- 25 **Terms and Conditions**
- 6. **Class and Definition:** The parties agree that this action meets the requirements of 27 Rule 23(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall be treated for all purposes as 28 a class action. The plaintiff class consists of all prisoners who are now, or at some time in the

CONSENT DECREE

¢ase 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 9 of 58

future during the terms of this Consent Decree are, incarcerated in the Fresno County Jail.

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

2

Notice to Class Members: Defendant shall post notices of this action in a manner 7. agreed upon by the parties. Such notices shall include a brief description of plaintiffs' claims, the definition of the class, that the parties have entered into a Consent Decree to correct the alleged violation of the federal constitutional and statutory rights of the plaintiff class, and the contact information for the Prison Law Office should any prisoner wish to contact plaintiffs' counsel.

Implementation of Remedial Plan: Defendant shall make all reasonable efforts 9 8. 10 subject to the availability of resources to fully implement all of the remedial measures, according 11 to the specified timeframes, set forth in the Remedial Plan attached as Appendix A. Defendant 12 shall make all reasonable efforts to secure the funding necessary to implement the Remedial Plan. 13 The Consent Decree and Remedial Plan are designed and intended to meet the minimum level of 14 health care and safety and security necessary to fulfill Defendant's obligations under the Eighth 15 and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, as well as to ensure reasonable 16 17 accommodations are provided to remedy the specific alleged violations of the ADA and Section 18 504 of the Rehabilitation Act set forth in the amended complaint. Nothing in this Consent Decree 19 shall be construed to require more of the Defendant than is minimally necessary to enforce the 20 Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments, and to comply with the requirements of the ADA and 21 Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. 22

9. Defendant shall develop and implement appropriate and adequate plans, policies, 23 24 and practices to ensure compliance with the Remedial Plan. Defendant will submit any new 25 health care plans or policies developed to meet the terms of the Remedial Plan to Plaintiffs' 26 counsel for their review and comments at least 15 days before implementation. Defendant will 27 submit all other new plans or policies developed to meet the terms of the Remedial Plan to 28 Plaintiffs' counsel for their review within 10 days of the effective date of each such plan or

CONSENT DECREE

Case 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 10 of 58

1

2 policy. Plaintiffs' counsel may provide comments, if any, on each such plan or policy within 10
3 days of receipt.

4 **Experts:** The parties agree to jointly request the appointment of three Court 10. 5 experts pursuant to rule 706 of the Federal Rules of Evidence to advise the Court on the adequacy 6 of the implementation of the Remedial Plan. During the first year of this Consent Decree, the 7 three experts shall each complete one comprehensive review and report to advise the Court on 8 Defendant's progress in implementing the Remedial Plan. For the remaining duration of this 9 10 Consent Decree, the Court experts shall complete comprehensive reviews and reports as he/she 11 determine to be necessary, or as jointly requested by the parties, but not more than once a year to 12 advise the parties and the Court on the adequacy of Defendant's implementation of the Remedial 13 Plan. The Court experts shall also complete evaluations and reports upon request as described in 14 the dispute resolution process detailed in Paragraph 18. The experts' duties specified in 15 Appendix B shall be provided to the experts pursuant to Rule 706(b). 16

17 The Court experts shall be entitled to reasonable compensation in an amount 11. 18 approved by the Court, which shall be paid by Defendant. The Court experts shall have access to 19 all parts of any County jail facility, with adequate notice provided in advance of the same to 20 ensure appropriate security is provided, all relevant budgetary, custody, and health care 21 documents, persons (including confidential interviews with consenting staff and consenting 22 prisoners) and institutional meetings, proceedings, and programs to the extent the experts 23 24 reasonably determine such access is needed to fulfill their obligations. The Court experts will not 25 have access to personnel files, including records and information deemed confidential pursuant to 26 California Penal Code § 832.7. Attorneys shall not have ex parte contact with experts regarding 27 their preliminary and proposed opinions to be presented to the parties. 28

12. The parties shall attempt to agree on who shall be appointed as the Court experts.

CONSENT DECREE

Case 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 11 of 58

2 If the parties do not agree, Defendant and plaintiffs shall nominate and submit potential experts to
3 be chosen and appointed by the Court pursuant to Rule 706.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

13. **Status Reports:** Within 180 calendar days of the effective date of this Consent Decree, Defendant shall provide to plaintiffs a status report describing the state of compliance with the terms of this Consent Decree and Remedial Plan. This report shall include a description of the steps that Defendant has taken to implement the Remedial Plan. At the end of each subsequent 180-day period within four years from the date this Consent Decree is entered unless the Consent Decree is earlier terminated Defendant shall provide to plaintiffs a status report addressing each item of the Remedial Plan and shall specify each and every item with which it is not in compliance.

Monitoring and Access to Information: Plaintiffs shall reasonably monitor 14. 14 Defendant's compliance with the Remedial Plan. Such monitoring shall be limited to issues 15 related to the Consent Decree and the Remedial Plan and consist of touring the jail, interviewing 16 staff and prisoners, reviewing and commenting on policies and procedures revised or added after 17 18 the effective date of this Consent Decree as provided for in paragraph 9, negotiating with county 19 personnel and their counsel, corresponding with prisoners, reviewing relevant documents, 20 communicating with the Court experts and all other duties and obligations specifically set forth 21 herein, including but not limited to those set forth in paragraphs 15-18. This provision is not 22 intended to and does not supersede the Inmate Grievance Procedure. If plaintiffs reasonably 23 24 believe that Defendant is not complying with the measures set forth in the Remedial Plan, they 25 shall notify Defendant in writing of the alleged noncompliance. Defendant shall investigate 26 allegation(s) and use its best efforts to provide plaintiffs with a response in writing within 30 27 calendar days. If plaintiffs are not satisfied with Defendant's response, the parties shall engage in 28 the dispute resolution process described in Paragraph 18.

CONSENT DECREE

Case 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 12 of 58

1

13

- Defendant shall provide plaintiffs, upon advance written notice, with access to 15. 2 3 information, including all jail facilities, documents, records, and staff, that plaintiffs reasonably 4 believe in good faith is necessary to monitor Defendant's compliance with the Remedial Plan, 5 subject where applicable to a protective order agreed to by the parties and attached as Appendix 6 C. Plaintiffs shall not be entitled to personnel records, including records and information deemed 7 confidential pursuant to Penal Code § 832.7. From the date this Consent Decree is entered by the 8 Court, Defendant shall provide plaintiffs with access to such information within 30 calendar days 9 10 If Defendant believes that the information requested by plaintiffs is not of any request. 11 reasonably necessary to monitor compliance with the Remedial Plan, the parties shall engage in 12 the dispute resolution process described in Paragraph 18.
- Plaintiffs' counsel and their consultants (whose consultant fees shall be the sole 16. 14 responsibility of Plaintiffs) may conduct no more than two tours of the jail facilities per calendar 15 year not to exceed a maximum of 40 hours total for all institutions each calendar year. Notice of 16 any jail tour pursuant to this paragraph must be given in writing at least 7 days before the 17 18 proposed tour. Scheduling of any requested tour is subject to jail operational and/or security 19 concerns. Tours by plaintiffs' counsel and/or their consultants shall include reasonable access to 20 all of the County jail facilities, including all housing units, facilities where health care services are 21 provided, and any other facilities where services are provided pursuant to the Remedial Plan. 22 Plaintiffs' counsel shall identify in their notice of any jail tour issues for discussion and 23 24 Defendant shall provide appropriate personnel to address those issues. During the tours, 25 Defendant shall make reasonable efforts to make available for interview management, clinical, 26 custodial, and program staff that have direct or indirect responsibility for healthcare, disability 27 accommodations, and the safety and security of prisoners. Defendant shall direct institution staff 28 to reasonably cooperate with plaintiffs' counsel in obtaining necessary information they request

CONSENT DECREE

Case 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 13 of 58

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

during the tours. During the tours, Defendant shall permit and facilitate plaintiffs counsel having reasonable brief confidential discussion with any consenting prisoner identified by plaintiffs' Upon two week's notice and request by Plaintiffs' counsel and pursuant to the counsel. protective order. Defendant shall make available for inspection and/or copying the health care and/or custodial information in Offendertrak of specified prisoners. At the reasonable request of plaintiffs' counsel jail personnel shall provide individual prisoners reasonable access consistent 8 with jail security and staff availability to confidential telephone calls with plaintiffs' counsel. 9

In the event that Defendant fails to make an employee or an agent available for an 17. interview, and the parties agree, plaintiff may depose the employee or agent who has not been made available. If the parties are unable to agree, the Court may order such deposition of the employee or agent if such deposition is reasonably necessary to the enforcement of the Consent Decree.

At the reasonable request of any party, the parties shall conduct good faith 18. 16 17 negotiations to resolve informally any dispute regarding Defendant's compliance with the 18 measures set forth in the Remedial Plan, which shall not include any issue relating to an 19 individual prisoner that is subject to the Inmate Grievance Procedure until after that process has 20 been exhausted. Any party may begin this dispute resolution process by written notice to the 21 opposing party. If the parties are unable to resolve informally the dispute within 30 days 22 following the Defendant's written response to plaintiffs' counsel pursuant to paragraph 14, or 23 24 within 30 days following Defendant's initial written notice to plaintiffs' counsel of a dispute, the 25 party initiating the process may inform the Court's expert(s) of the area of disagreement and 26 request that the expert(s) evaluate the issue and prepare a report. If either party believes that a 27 request for an evaluation and report from an expert is beyond the scope of the Consent Decree or 28 the Remedial Plan they may apply to the Court for an order vacating the request. Unless such a

CONSENT DECREE

Case 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 14 of 58

motion is made within 10 days of the request or the Court vacates the request, the expert(s) shall 2 3 devote his or her best efforts to provide his or her report regarding the area of disagreement 4 within 45 days of the request. Defendant will pay the experts' reasonable fees for time incurred 5 and any reports prepared by a Court expert about a disputed issue, as contemplated by this 6 paragraph. Any report prepared by a Court expert about a disputed issue, as contemplated by this 7 paragraph, shall be admissible as evidence at the request of any party in any judicial proceeding 8 in this case. While the report may be admissible, it may be disputed or contested by any party 9 10 with testimonial or extrinsic evidence, including expert testimony. The report shall not be 11 admissible in any other action. If within 30 calendar days of receipt of the Court's expert's 12 report, the parties are unable to reach a mutually satisfactory resolution of the dispute, any party 13 may file a motion for relief to the Court. 14

15 Enforcement

1

16 19. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Consent Decree, and
 17 shall have the power to enforce the agreement through specific performance and all other
 18 remedies permitted by law throughout the duration of this Consent Decree.

Duration

19

20

The duration of this Consent Decree is four years from the date this Consent 20. 21 Decree is entered by the Court, unless the court earlier determines that Defendant is in substantial 22 compliance with the Remedial Plan or, subject to the dispute resolution process in Paragraph 18 23 24 that this time period shall be extended as to any provision of this Consent Decree with which 25 parties or the Court's expert(s) reasonably determine that Defendant is not in substantial 26 compliance for so long as substantial non-compliance persists. The parties have the right by 27 motion to the Court to contest the determinations made by the Court's experts with testimonial or 28 extrinsic evidence, including expert testimony.

CONSENT DECREE

Case 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 15 of 58

2 Costs and Fees

1

3 Costs and Fees For Enforcement: Defendant agrees to pay Plaintiffs' counsel 21. 4 \$40,000 per calendar year, or a pro rated portion thereof, for all fees and expenses related to 5 monitoring the implementation of the Consent Decree and the Remedial Plan. The monitoring 6 period shall begin the day after the Consent Decree is approved by the Court. Defendant shall 7 deliver payment within 60 days of the beginning of the monitoring period for each of the first 8 three years. For the duration of the Consent Decree, Defendant shall pay a pro rata share within 9 10 30 days of each calendar quarter of monitoring. The limitation on fees and expenses for 11 monitoring shall not include any litigation to enforce or defend this Consent Decree or the 12 Remedial Plan before the Court.

13 14

19

Effect of Consent Decree in Other Actions

15 22. Neither the fact of this Consent Decree nor any statements of claims contained
16 herein shall be used in any other case, claim, or administrative proceedings, except that Defendant
17 and its employees and agents may use this Consent Decree and any statement contained herein to
18 assert issue prelusion or *res judicata*.

23. Nothing in this Consent Decree is intended to and does not modify, revise or change any existing orders or consent decrees applicable to Defendant, operations at the Fresno County Jail or prisoners in the Fresno County Jail, including, but not limited to Orders and Consent Decrees in John B. Cruz, et al. v. County of Fresno, et al., United States District Court Eastern District of California Case No. 1:93-cv-05070-MCE.

25 24. Costs and Fees Prior to Entry of the Consent Decree: In the interest of
 26 resolving this dispute, Defendant agrees to pay plaintiffs' counsel \$900,000 as payment for all
 27 reasonable attorneys fees and costs through the date the Court approves this Consent Decree.
 28 Defendant shall deliver payment within 60 days of the date the Court approves this Consent

CONSENT DECREE

I	ase 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 16 of 58		
1			
2	Decree. Payment of these fees and costs represents full satisfaction of all claims for fees and		
3	costs through the date upon which the Consent Decree is approved.		
4	IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED.		
5			
6	PRISON LAW OFFICE		
7	Dete J. Mars 27, 2015		
8	Dated: May 27, 2015		
9	By: /s/ Donald Specter		
10	Donald Specter Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
11			
12			
13	COOLEY LLP		
14	Detail May 27 2015		
15	Dated: May 27, 2015		
16	By: /s/ Maureen Alger		
17	Maureen Alger Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
18	Automeys for Flammins		
19 20			
20	DISABILITY RIGHTS CALIFORNIA		
21	Dated: May 27, 2015		
22			
23 24	By: /s/ Melinda Bird		
2 4 25	Melinda Bird Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
23 26			
20			
28			
20			
	CONSENT DECREE		

Case 1:11-cv-02047-LJO-BAM Document 112-1 Filed 05/28/15 Page 17 of 58

1						
2	Dated: May 27, 2015 McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP					
3						
4	By: /s/ Michael G. Woods					
5	Michael G. Woods Attorneys for Defendant					
6						
7	The Court recognizes that the Plaintiffs allege various violations of Federal law and the					
8	Defendant County of Fresno denies those allegations. Having reviewed the reports of the joint					
9	experts, the Court hereby finds that the remedy set forth herein is narrowly drawn, extends no					
10	further than necessary to correct the violation of Federal constitutional and statutory rights of the					
11	Plaintiffs' class, and is the least intrusive means necessary to correct the violation of federal					
12	rights.					
13 14	Therefore, and good cause appearing, the Court approves the Consent Decree, and orders					
14	the parties to comply with all its terms, and orders Defendant to implement the Remedial Plan					
16	pursuant to the schedule set forth therein.					
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
18						
19						
20	Dated: JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
21	29253-00188 3423289.1					
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	I CONSENT DECREE					