1	DONALD SPECTER – 083925 STEVEN FAMA – 099641	MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891 ERNEST GALVAN – 196065
2	ALISON HARDY – 135966	LISA ELLS – 243657
3	SARA NORMAN – 189536 MARGOT MENDELSON – 268583 RITA LOMIO 254501	JESSICA WINTER – 294237 MARC J. SHINN-KRANTZ – 312968 CARA E. TRAPANI – 313411
4	PRISON LAW OFFICE 1917 Fifth Street	ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP
5	Berkeley, California 94710-1916 Telephone: (510) 280-2621	101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor San Francisco, California 94105-1738
6		Telephone: (415) 433-6830
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	AND NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT COMPOSED OF THREE JUDGES	
11	PURSUANT TO SECTION 2284, TITLE 28 UNITED STATES CODE	
12		
13	RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,	Case No. 2:90-CV-00520-KJM-DB
14	Plaintiffs,	THREE JUDGE COURT
15	v.	
16	GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18	MARCIANO PLATA, et al.,	Case No. C01-1351 JST
19	Plaintiffs,	THREE JUDGE COURT
20	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
21	GAVIN NEWSOM,	PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY MOTION TO MODIFY POPULATION
22	Defendants.	REDUCTION ORDER
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY MOTION

Before this Court is Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion to Modify the Population Reduction Order. This Court, having considered the briefing, relevant legal authority, and the record in this case, including the expert declarations, **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' motion.

The Court finds that the requested relief therein meets the requirements of 18 U.S.C. § 3626. In so doing, the Court finds that the relief is narrowly drawn, extends no further than necessary to ensure the protection of the federal constitutional and statutory rights of Plaintiffs, and is the least intrusive means necessary to accomplish those objectives. The Court also finds that previous orders for less intrusive relief failed to remedy the deprivation of Plaintiffs' rights, and Defendants have had a reasonable amount of time to comply with previous court orders. Finally, this Court finds that crowding is the primary cause of the violation of Plaintiffs' rights, and no other relief will remedy the violation of these rights.

## **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- 1. Defendants shall reduce the population density in crowded congregate living spaces to a level that will permit social distancing by releasing to parole or post-release community supervision those class members who (a) are at low risk as determined by CDCR's risk assessment instrument or are serving a term for a non-violent offense and (b) are paroling within the year. Within this group, people with six months or less to serve and people who are at high risk of severe illness from COVID-19 should be prioritized.
- 2. Defendants shall release or relocate class members who are at high risk of severe illness from COVID-19. High risk individuals include: (a) people aged 65 and over; (b) people with chronic lung disease or moderate to severe asthma; (c) people who have severe heart conditions; (d) people who are immunocompromised (for example, due to cancer treatment, bone marrow or organ transplantation, immune deficiencies, poorly controlled HIV or AIDS, or prolonged use of immune-weakening medications); (e) people with severe obesity; (f) people with uncontrolled diabetes; (g) people with renal failure; (h) people with liver disease;