Director: Donald Specter

Managing Attorney: Sara Norman





PRISON LAW OFFICE General Delivery, San Quentin, CA 94964 Telephone (510) 280-2621 • Fax (510) 280-2704 www.prisonlaw.com

Your Responsibility When Using the Information Provided Below:

When putting this material together, we did our best to give you useful and accurate information because we know that prisoners often have trouble getting legal information and we cannot give specific advice to all prisoners who ask for it. The laws change often and can be looked at in different ways. We do not always have the resources to make changes to this material every time the law changes. If you use this pamphlet, it is your responsibility to make sure that the law has not changed and still applies to your situation. Most of the materials you need should be available in your facility's law library.

New Murder Laws -- Senate Bill 1437 and Senate Bill 775 (also applicable to some manslaughter and attempted murder convictions)

(updated November 2021)

We send this information in response to your request for information concerning the recent changes to California's laws regarding murder, manslaughter, and attempted murder convictions. Unfortunately, we cannot provide individual responses to everyone who contacts us. We hope this letter will help answer your questions.

Effective January 1, 2019, Senate Bill 1437 changed the laws about when a person can be convicted of murder when they do not kill or do not act with "malice." SB 1437 also provided a way for people who had been convicted of murder under the old laws to petition to be resentenced on lesser charges in accord with the new laws. Effective January 1, 2022, Senate Bill 775 expands the resentencing provision to include some people convicted of manslaughter or attempted murder. SB 775 also clarifies the procedural rights of people who are petitioning for resentencing and the standards that courts must apply when deciding whether to resentence someone.

<u>California homicide laws are very complicated and this letter provides only a general overview</u> of SB 1437 and SB 775. If you were convicted of murder, attempted murder, or manslaughter, and you are wondering whether SB 1437 and SB 775 affect your conviction, you should contact the public defender's officer in the county where you were convicted, your criminal trial attorney, and/or your criminal appeal attorney to ask for advice or for help filing a resentencing petition. If you previously filed an SB 1437 petition and were denied relief, you should contact the public defender's office in the county where you criminal trial attorney, and/or your criminal appeal attorney to ask for advice or for help filing a resentencing petition. If you previously filed an SB 1437 petition and were denied relief, you should contact the public defender's office in the county where you were convicted, your criminal trial attorney, and/or your criminal appeal attorney to ask if you should file a new petition under SB 775.

I. What Did SB 1437 Change?

California murder laws are complex. Usually, to convict someone of murder, the prosecutor must prove that a person killed someone with "malice." Malice can be "express" (intending to kill) or "implied" (intentionally committing a dangerous act with conscious disregard for human life). However, there are legal rules under which a person can be convicted of murder even if they do not personally kill anyone and do not act with malice.

SB 1437 changed the law by limiting the circumstances in which a person can be convicted of murder. Under SB 1437, a person cannot be presumed to have acted with malice just because they participated in some type of crime. (Penal Code § 188(a)(3).) Specifically:

- *SB 1437 bars first-degree felony murder convictions unless additional factors are proven.* "Felony murder" is a legal rule by which a person can be convicted of murder if they commit or attempt to commit a dangerous felony and someone ends up being killed. Under the new law, a person who participates in a felony that resulted in a death may be convicted of first-degree murder only if the prosecutor proves that the person committed one of the qualifying felonies *and* proves that (1) the person was the actual killer; OR (2) the person had an intent to kill when they aided and abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, solicited, requested, or assisted the actual killer, OR (3) the person was a "major participant" in the felony and acted with "reckless indifference" to human life; OR (4) the victim was a peace officer engaged in performing their duties. (Penal Code § 189(e)-(f).)
- SB 1437 eliminated the judicially-created crime of second-degree felony murder, for both actual killers and aider/abettors, unless the prosecutor proves the person acted with malice. (People v. Gentile (2020) 10 Cal.5th 830, 846-847 [new law "permits a second degree murder conviction only if the prosecution can prove the defendant acted with the accompanying mental state of mind of malice aforethought"]; see also People v De Huff (2021) 63 Cal.App.5th 428, 437-438.)
- *SB 1437 barred murder convictions based on natural and probable consequences aiding and abetting.* "Natural and probable consequences aiding and abetting" was a legal rule that used to allow a person to be convicted of murder if they aided and abetted a crime that could reasonably and foreseeably result in someone being killed, and the person they aided and abetted ended up killing someone.

SB 1437 also established a process for people who had been convicted of murder under the old laws to petition to be resentenced to lesser offenses in accord with the new laws. (Penal Code § 1170.95.)

II. What Did SB 775 Change?

SB 775, which goes into effect on January 1, 2022 makes some important changes to the resentencing provision in Penal Code § 1170.95. These changes resolve some of the disputes about how SB 1437 is to be applied and carried out. Specifically, SB 775:

- Expands resentencing to include people who were convicted of *attempted murder* or *manslaughter* following a trial or who accepted a plea offer instead of facing a trial in which they could have been convicted of murder or attempted murder, and who could not be convicted of murder or attempted murder under the law as changed by SB 1437.
- Clarifies that resentencing applies to any case where the conviction was pursuant to a "theory under which malice is imputed." This opens up the question of whether a person can be convicted of murder under the "provocative act doctrine" when a killing is committed by a third-party as a consequence of a person's "provocative act" (e.g., participation in a gun battle).
- Confirms that an attorney must be appointed to represent a person who files a petition that sets forth the basic criteria for relief.
- Clarifies that at a resentencing hearing, the court must resentence the person unless the court finds that the prosecutor has proven beyond a reasonable doubt all of the elements currently required for murder, attempted murder, or manslaughter.
- Clarifies evidentiary rules at a resentencing hearing.
- Allows people who still have open direct appeal cases to raise SB 1437 issues on direct appeal, rather than having to file resentencing petitions.

III. How Do I Know if My Conviction Is Affected SB 1437 and/or SB 775? What Should I Do if I Think My Conviction Is Affected?

If you were convicted of murder, attempted murder, or voluntary manslaughter before SB 1437 took effect on January 1, 2019, you will have to take action to ask for resentencing under SB 1437 and SB 775. Depending on the status of your case, you may be able to either challenge your conviction on appeal or file a resentencing petition.

It can be very difficult to figure out if you were convicted under a felony murder theory or a natural and probable causes aiding and abetting theory that is now invalid under SB 1437 and SB 775. If you went to trial, the legal theories that the district attorney used in your case will have been part of the instructions that were given to the jury. However, you might not have access to the jury instructions, the court might have instructed the jury on more than one legal theory, and issues like whether you were a "major participant" in the underlying felony might never have been presented to

Prison Law Office New Laws Re: Murder Convictions November 2021

the jury. Similarly, if you entered a plea bargain, the legal theory and factual basis for your conviction might not have been clearly established.

The best thing to do is to contact the public defender's office in the county where you were convicted, your criminal trial attorney, and/or your criminal appeal attorney to ask for advice about how SB 1437 and SB 775 might affect you and what you should do to protect your rights. Depending on your situation, one of those attorneys may be able to help you raise the issue in your direct appeal or file a resentencing petition about your conviction with a court.

In addition, the Office of the State Public Defender has prepared an information packet on SB 775. The packet is mainly directed at people who were convicted of manslaughter or attempted murder, but parts of it may also be helpful to people who were convicted of murder. The packet describes the petition process and what happens after someone files a petition. It includes a petition form and contact information for all of the public defender offices and appellate projects. A copy of the packet is attached to this letter.

end: OSPD SB 775 packet (Nov. 2021)

Office of the State Public Defender

1111 Broadway, 10th Floor Oakland, California 94607-4139 Telephone: (510) 267-3300 or (916) 322-2676



Senate Bill (SB) 775 Information

SB 775 allows certain people convicted of aiding and abetting <u>attempted murder</u> or <u>manslaughter</u> to get a sentence reduction.

The text of SB 775 and a form petition are attached. Please read both carefully. If you believe you are eligible for relief, you can fill out the attached petition to ask the trial court to consider resentencing you.

BACKGROUND

SB 1437 was passed in 2018. It changed the laws about who could be convicted as an aider and abettor in a murder case. It also created a process for people who had murder convictions under the old laws to ask the court to be resentenced on a less serious felony. Penal Code section 1170.95 describes the resentencing procedure. Many people who were convicted of murder as an aider and abettor under the prior law have already filed section 1170.95 petitions.

SB 775 is a new law that passed in 2021. It clarifies that certain people who were convicted of aiding and abetting an <u>attempted murder (under the natural and</u> <u>probable consequences doctrine)</u>, and certain people who were charged with murder but convicted of <u>manslaughter</u>, can ask the court to be resentenced on a less serious felony.

WHO CAN PETITION TO BE RESENTENCED UNDER SB 775

Starting January 1, 2022, people who were convicted of aiding and abetting attempted murder (under the natural and probable consequences doctrine), and aiders and abettors charged with murder but convicted of manslaughter, can petition the court to have their convictions vacated and to be resentenced on a less serious felony.

Not everybody convicted of attempted murder or manslaughter is eligible to be resentenced.

AIDING AND ABETTING AND NATURAL AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES

According to the law, someone aids and abets a crime if he or she knows of the perpetrator's unlawful purpose and he or she specifically intends to, and does in fact, aid, facilitate, promote, encourage, or instigate the perpetrator's commission of that crime.

For example, in a murder case, an aider and abettor is a person who is not the actual killer but who helped the actual killer by knowing of the killer's plan to commit a fatal act, intending to help the killer commit the fatal act, and doing something to help the actual killer commit the fatal act. An aider and abettor can help an actual killer by doing things like encouraging him, providing him with weapons, or helping him plan the killing.

In attempted murder cases, an aider and abettor is someone who had the intent to kill like the person who attempted the murder.

The natural and probable consequences doctrine punishes crime when two or more people intend to commit a certain crime, but instead one of the participants commits a different or additional crime.

Under the old law, a person could be convicted of attempted murder even though he did not intend to kill but only intended to help the attempted murderer commit another crime. The natural and probable consequences doctrine allowed the aider and abettor in that situation to be convicted of the attempted murder because the other crime he intended to aid and abet could lead to the attempted murder.

Aiders and abettors who never had intent to kill but were convicted of attempted murder because of the natural and probable consequences doctrine may be eligible for SB 775 relief and should consider filing the attached petition

FILING THE PETITION

If you read through the statute and believe you are eligible, check the boxes and send the petition to the trial court in the county where you were convicted. The form allows you to ask for a lawyer to represent you in a resentencing proceeding. You are not required to use this form. If you use this form to file for relief you must: (1) send the original completed form to the court that sentenced you, (2) send a copy to the district attorney of the county that convicted you, (3) send a copy to the private attorney or the public defender who represented you, and (4) keep a copy for your records.

Attached are addresses for the public defenders across California. This may be helpful for the proof of service. If you no longer have the legal documents from your case with the address for the court or the district attorney in your case, you should check with the law library at your prison.

This document does not constitute legal advice and is general information.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

The following is not legal advice specific to your case. It is your responsibility to do legal research or contact a lawyer to determine if you are eligible to apply for relief.

Q; What happens after I file a petition?

A: Penal Code section 1170.95, included in the SB 775 language attached, lays out how courts are supposed to consider the petitions.

If you requested a lawyer, the court must appoint one for you. The district attorney will then be ordered to file a response brief within 60 days. After that, your attorney is allowed to file a reply brief in 30 days. These deadlines can be extended if the judge finds good cause. Sometimes it takes a while to find documents in old cases so don't be surprised if the deadlines are extended.

Once the briefing is complete, the judge should hold a hearing to decide if you made a *prima facie* showing that you are eligible for relief. If the judge finds you have made a *prima facie* showing that you may have been convicted under the outdated laws, the judge will issue an order to show cause. There will then be another hearing, 60 days after the order to show cause. At that hearing the prosecutor will have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that you could still be found guilty of murder (in a manslaughter case) or attempted murder under today's law.

If the prosecutor cannot show beyond a reasonable doubt that you could still be found guilty, then you are entitled to be resentenced

If you are denied relief at the *prima facie* stage <u>or</u> at the resentencing phase you are allowed to file a notice of appeal on a standard felony notice of appeal form available in law libraries (CR-120). You must do so within 60 days of the denial.

Q: When should I file the petition? What if I don't file in January?

A: It is best to file the Penal Code section 1170.95 petition after January 1, 2022. If you file a petition before January 1, 2022, the court may wait to rule on the petition since the changes are not effective until January 1, 2022. The court may also reject the petition. If the petition is rejected because it was filed before January 1, be sure to refile it again after January 1, 2022.

There is no deadline to file a section 1170.95 petition, so you do not need to worry about missing a deadline to file the initial petition.

Q: If I filed a SB 1437 petition on my attempted murder or manslaughter conviction and my petition was denied in the trial court or the Court of Appeal, should I file again?

A: If you already filed a SB 1437 petition and have an appointed or paid attorney in the superior court, Court of Appeal, or the Supreme Court you should consult your attorney before filing a new petition.

If your original case is still being considered in the Court of Appeal or held in the Supreme Court, the trial court may not be able to consider your new petition.

If you previously filed a SB 1437 petition to reduce your attempted murder or manslaughter conviction and your petition was denied because the law did not apply to you at that time and your case is now final – meaning you have nothing pending in the Court of Appeal or the Supreme Court – you should file a new petition.

Q: Should I file a petition if I don't know whether I'm eligible?

A: You should not file a petition for resentencing unless you believe that you are eligible. The law requires that you provide a declaration to initiate resentencing under this law and a declaration requires your signature under penalty of perjury. However, if you read through the petition and think you are eligible you can file the declaration and request an attorney. An appointed attorney will look at your case and see if you are eligible for relief.



Senate Bill No. 775

CHAPTER 551

An act to amend Section 1170.95 of the Penal Code, relating to murder.

[Approved by Governor October 5, 2021. Filed with Secretary of State October 5, 2021.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 775, Becker. Felony murder: resentencing.

Existing law authorizes a person who has been convicted of felony murder or murder under the natural and probable consequences theory to file a petition for the court to vacate the person's sentence and resentence them when specified conditions apply, including that the complaint, information, or indictment was filed against the petitioner that allowed the prosecution to proceed under a theory of felony murder or murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine.

This bill would expand the authorization to allow a person who was convicted of murder under any theory under which malice is imputed to a person based solely on that person's participation in a crime, attempted murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine, or who was convicted of manslaughter when the prosecution was allowed to proceed on a theory of felony murder or murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine, to apply to have their sentence vacated and be resentenced if, among other things, the complaint, information, or indictment was filed to allow the prosecution to proceed under a theory of felony murder, murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine or other theory under which malice is imputed to a person based solely on that person's participation in a crime, or attempted murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine.

Existing law requires the court to review the petition and determine that the petitioner has made a prima facie showing that the petitioner falls within the resentencing provisions. Existing law requires the court to appoint counsel to represent the petitioner if the petitioner requests counsel. Existing law requires the court to issue an order to show cause if the petitioner has made a prima facie showing that they are entitled to relief.

This bill would require a court to hold a prima facie hearing to determine whether the petitioner has made a prima facie case for relief. The bill would require the court to appoint counsel, upon the petitioner's request, when receiving a petition in which the required information is set forth or readily ascertainable by the court. The bill would require a court that declines to make an order to show cause to provide a statement fully setting forth its reasons for doing so.

Existing law requires the court to hold a hearing to determine if the petitioner is entitled to relief under these provisions.

This bill would specify that a finding that there is substantial evidence to support a conviction for murder, attempted murder, or manslaughter is insufficient to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the petitioner is ineligible for resentencing.

This bill would authorize a person convicted of murder, attempted murder, or manslaughter whose conviction is not final to challenge the validity of that conviction upon direct appeal.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares that this legislation does all of the following:

(a) Clarifies that persons who were convicted of attempted murder or manslaughter under a theory of felony murder and the natural probable consequences doctrine are permitted the same relief as those persons convicted of murder under the same theories.

(b) Codifies the holdings of People v. Lewis (2021) 11 Cal.5th 952, 961-970, regarding petitioners' right to counsel and the standard for determining the existence of a prima facie case.

(c) Reaffirms that the proper burden of proof at a resentencing hearing under this section is proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

(d) Addresses what evidence a court may consider at a resentencing hearing (clarifying the discussion in People v. Lewis, supra, at pp. 970-972). SEC. 2. Section 1170.95 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

1170.95. (a) A person convicted of felony murder or murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine or other theory under which malice is imputed to a person based solely on that person's participation in a crime, attempted murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine, or manslaughter may file a petition with the court that sentenced the petitioner to have the petitioner's murder, attempted murder, or manslaughter conviction vacated and to be resentenced on any remaining counts when all of the following conditions apply:

(1) A complaint, information, or indictment was filed against the petitioner that allowed the prosecution to proceed under a theory of felony murder, murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine or other theory under which malice is imputed to a person based solely on that person's participation in a crime, or attempted murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine.

(2) The petitioner was convicted of murder, attempted murder, or manslaughter following a trial or accepted a plea offer in lieu of a trial at which the petitioner could have been convicted of murder or attempted murder.

(3) The petitioner could not presently be convicted of murder or attempted murder because of changes to Section 188 or 189 made effective January 1, 2019.

-3-

(b) (1) The petition shall be filed with the court that sentenced the petitioner and served by the petitioner on the district attorney, or on the agency that prosecuted the petitioner, and on the attorney who represented the petitioner in the trial court or on the public defender of the county where the petitioner was convicted. If the judge that originally sentenced the petitioner is not available to resentence the petitioner, the presiding judge shall designate another judge to rule on the petition. The petition shall include all of the following:

(A) A declaration by the petitioner that the petitioner is eligible for relief under this section, based on all the requirements of subdivision (a).

(B) The superior court case number and year of the petitioner's conviction.

(C) Whether the petitioner requests the appointment of counsel.

(2) If any of the information required by this subdivision is missing from the petition and cannot be readily ascertained by the court, the court may deny the petition without prejudice to the filing of another petition and advise the petitioner that the matter cannot be considered without the missing information.

(3) Upon receiving a petition in which the information required by this subdivision is set forth or a petition where any missing information can readily be ascertained by the court, if the petitioner has requested counsel, the court shall appoint counsel to represent the petitioner.

(c) Within 60 days after service of a petition that meets the requirements set forth in subdivision (b), the prosecutor shall file and serve a response. The petitioner may file and serve a reply within 30 days after the prosecutor's response is served. These deadlines shall be extended for good cause. After the parties have had an opportunity to submit briefings, the court shall hold a hearing to determine whether the petitioner has made a prima facie case for relief. If the petitioner makes a prima facie showing that the petitioner is entitled to relief, the court shall issue an order to show cause. If the court declines to make an order to show cause, it shall provide a statement fully setting forth its reasons for doing so.

(d) (1) Within 60 days after the order to show cause has issued, the court shall hold a hearing to determine whether to vacate the murder, attempted murder, or manslaughter conviction and to recall the sentence and resentence the petitioner on any remaining counts in the same manner as if the petitioner had not previously been sentenced, provided that the new sentence, if any, is not greater than the initial sentence. This deadline may be extended for good cause.

(2) The parties may waive a resentencing hearing and stipulate that the petitioner is eligible to have the murder, attempted murder, or manslaughter conviction vacated and to be resentenced. If there was a prior finding by a court or jury that the petitioner did not act with reckless indifference to

human life or was not a major participant in the felony, the court shall vacate the petitioner's conviction and resentence the petitioner.

(3) At the hearing to determine whether the petitioner is entitled to relief, the burden of proof shall be on the prosecution to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the petitioner is guilty of murder or attempted murder under California law as amended by the changes to Section 188 or 189 made effective January 1, 2019. The admission of evidence in the hearing shall be governed by the Evidence Code, except that the court may consider evidence previously admitted at any prior hearing or trial that is admissible under current law, including witness testimony, stipulated evidence, and matters judicially noticed. The court may also consider the procedural history of the case recited in any prior appellate opinion. However, hearsay evidence that was admitted in a preliminary hearing pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 872 shall be excluded from the hearing as hearsay, unless the evidence is admissible pursuant to another exception to the hearsay rule. The prosecutor and the petitioner may also offer new or additional evidence to meet their respective burdens. A finding that there is substantial evidence to support a conviction for murder, attempted murder, or manslaughter is insufficient to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the petitioner is ineligible for resentencing. If the prosecution fails to sustain its burden of proof, the prior conviction, and any allegations and enhancements attached to the conviction, shall be vacated and the petitioner shall be resentenced on the remaining charges.

(e) The petitioner's conviction shall be redesignated as the target offense or underlying felony for resentencing purposes if the petitioner is entitled to relief pursuant to this section, murder or attempted murder was charged generically, and the target offense was not charged. Any applicable statute of limitations shall not be a bar to the court's redesignation of the offense for this purpose.

(f) This section does not diminish or abrogate any rights or remedies otherwise available to the petitioner.

(g) A person convicted of murder, attempted murder, or manslaughter whose conviction is not final may challenge on direct appeal the validity of that conviction based on the changes made to Sections 188 and 189 by Senate Bill 1437 (Chapter 1015 of the Statutes of 2018).

(h) A person who is resentenced pursuant to this section shall be given credit for time served. The judge may order the petitioner to be subject to parole supervision for up to two years following the completion of the sentence.

0

Revised Penal Code Section 1170.95 Resentencing Petition (effective January 1, 2022)	For Court Use Only
Petitioner Name: CDCR #: Institution Name: Street Address: City, State, Zip Code:	
Attorney Name (if applicable): State Bar Number: SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,	SUPERIOR COURT CASE NUMBER:
COUNTY OF:	
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v. DEFENDANT: DATE OF BIRTH:YEAR OF CONVICTION:	For Court Use Only
PETITION FOR RESENTENCING (Pen. Code § 1170.95)	Date: Time: Department:

NOT TO BE FILED BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2022

, declare as follows:

- □ 1. A complaint, information, or indictment was filed against me that allowed the prosecution to proceed under a theory of felony murder, murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine or other theory under which malice is imputed to a person based solely on that person's participation in a crime, or attempted murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine. (Pen. Code, § 1170.95, subd. (a)(1).)
- □ 2. I was convicted of <u>murder</u>, <u>attempted murder</u>, or <u>manslaughter</u> following a trial or I accepted a plea offer in lieu of a trial at which I could have been convicted of murder or attempted murder. (Pen. Code, § 1170.95, subd. (a)(2).)
- □ 3. I could not presently be convicted of murder or attempted murder because of changes made to Penal Code §§ 188 and 189, effective January 1, 2019. (Pen. Code, § 1170.95, subd. (a)(3).)

Note: Boxes 1, 2 and 3 must all apply to you and be checked to be considered for resentencing pursuant to Penal Code section 1170.95.

□ 4. Having presented a facially sufficient petition, I request that this Court appoint counsel to represent me. (Pen. Code, § 1170.95, subd. (b)(1)(C), *People v. Lewis* (2021) 11 Cal.5th 952, 957.)

Ι

□ 5. I have mailed a copy of this Petition to the following:

□ Office of the District Attorney	□ Office of the Public Defender
County of	County of
[Street Address]	[Street Address]
[City, State, Zip]	[City, State, Zip]
	OR
	□ [Trial Attorney Name]
	[Firm Name]
	[Street Address]
	[City, State, Zip]

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true except as to that stated on information or belief or that which is legal conclusion and as to those, I believe them to be true.

DATE:	_ CITY:		STATE:
SIGNATURE:		PRINTED NAME:	

	Appellate	e Projects	
1st District	First District Appellate Project	2nd District	California Appellate Project
	475 Fourteenth Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94612		520 S. Grand Ave., 4th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071
	415-495-3119		213-243-0300
-	Alameda, Contra Costa, Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Marin, Mendocino, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Solano, Sonoma		entura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo
3rd & 5th	Central California Appellate Program	4th District	Appellate Defenders, Inc.
District	2150 River Plaza Dr., Ste. 300 Sacramento, CA 95833		555 West Beech St., Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92101
	916- 441-3792		619- 696-0282
• • •	Butte, Calaveras, Colusa, El Dorado, Glenn,	Inyo, San Berna	rdino, Riverside, Orange, San Diego, Imperial
	Mono, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, San		
Joaquin, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo Yuba		6 th District	Sixth District Appellate Program 95 S. Market Street, Suite 570
Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Stanislaus, Tulare and Tuolumne			San Jose CA 95113 408 241-6171 ext 108
		Santa Clara, Sar	n Benito, Santa Cruz, and Monterey
	Public Defe	nder Office	es
Alameda	Alameda County Public Defender	Imperial	Imperial County Public Defender
	1401 Lakeside Drive #400		895 Broadway
	Oakland, CA 94612-4305		El Centro, CA 92243
	510-272-6600		442-265-1705
Contra Costa	Contra Costa County Public Defender	Kern	Kern County Public Defender
	800 Ferry Street		1315 Truxtun Ave
	Martinez, CA 94553		Bakersfield, CA 93301
	925-335-8000		661-868-4799
El Dorado	El Dorado County Public Defender	Lassen	Lassen County Public Defender
	1360 Johnson Blvd., Ste 106		2950 Riverside Dr Ste 103
	South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150		Susanville, CA 96130-4710
	530-573-7955		530-251-8312
Fresno	Fresno Public Defender's Office	Los Angeles	Los Angeles County Public Defender
	2135 Fresno Street, Suite 100		210 W Temple St Floor 19
	Fresno, CA 93721		Los Angeles, CA 90012-3231
	(559) 600-3546		213-974-2811
Humboldt	Humboldt County Public Defender	Marin	Marin County Public Defender
	1001 4th Street		3501 Civic Center Drive #139
	Eureka, CA 95501-0544		San Rafael, CA 94903
	707-445-7634		415-473-6321

Mendocino	Mendocino County Public Defender 175 S School Street Ukiah, CA 95482-4825 707-234-6950	San Bernardino	San Bernardino County Public Defender 172 W 3rd St Floor 2 San Bernardino, CA 92415-0320 909-382-3940
Merced	Merced County Public Defender 1944 M Street Merced, CA 95348	San Diego	San Diego County Public Defender 451 A Street, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101
	209-385-7692		619-338-4700
Monterey	Monterey County Public Defender 168 W Alisal Street 2nd Floor Salinas, CA 93901	San Francisco	San Francisco Public Defender 555 7th Street San Francisco, CA 94103
	831-755-5058		415-553-1671
Napa	Napa County Public Defender 1127 First Street, Ste B Napa, CA 94559	San Joaquin	San Joaquin County Public Defender 102 S San Joaquin Street #1 Stockton, CA 95202
	707-253-4442		209-468-2730
Nevada	Nevada County Public Defender 109 N Pine Street Nevada City, CA 95959 530-265-1400	Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara County Public Defender 1100 Anapapa Street, 3rd Floor Santa Barbara, CA 93101 805-568-3470
Orange	Orange County Public Defender 801 Civic Center Dr W, Ste 400 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4033 657-251-6090	Santa Clara	Santa Clara County Public Defender 120 West Mission Street San Jose, CA 95110 408-299-7700
Riverside	Riverside County Public defender 4075 Main Street Suite 100 Riverside, CA 92501 951-955-6000	Shasta	Shasta County Public Defender 1815 Yuba Street Redding, CA 96001 530-245-7598
Sacramento	Sacramento County Public Defender 700 H Street #2070 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-874-6411	Siskiyou	Siskiyou County Public Defender 322 1/2 West Center Street Yreka, CA 960697 530-842-8105
		Solano	Solano County Public Defender 675 Texas Street, Ste 3500 Fairfield, CA 94533 707-784-6700

Sonoma Stanislaus Tulare	Sonoma County Public Defender 600 Administration Dr #111J Santa Rosa, CA 95403 707-565-2791 Stanislaus County Public Defender 1021 I Street Ste 201 Modesto, CA 95354 209-525-4200 Tulare County Public Defender 221 S. Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 93291	Tuolumne Ventura Yolo	Tuolumne County Public Defender 99 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370 209-533-6370 Ventura County Public Defender 800 S. Victoria Ave, HOJ #207 Ventura, CA 93009 805-654-2201 Yolo County Public Defender 814 North Street Woodland, CA 95695
	559-636-4500		530-666-8165
	Contr	act Offices	
Alpine	Eric Acevedo 99 Water St. Markleeville, CA 96150 530-694-2287	Glenn	Albert Smith P.O. Box 1346 Colusa, CA 95932 530-458-8801
Amador	Richard Ciummo & Associates 201 Clinton Rd Ste 202 Jackson, CA 95642-2678 209-223-0877		David Nelson 333 North Plumas Willows, CA 95988 530-934-3680
Butte	Butte Co. Public Defender Consortium 1560 Humboldt Rd Ste 1 Chico, CA 95928-9101 530-345-1647	Inyo	Josh Hillemeier 314 W Line St, Ste C Bishop, CA 93514-3443 760-462-5845
Calaveras	Richard Esquivel 265 West St. Charles Street, Ste. 4 San Andreas, CA 95249 209-754-4321	Kings Lake	Marianne Gilbert 4125 W Noble Ave #199 Visalia, CA 93277 559-816-2997 Frederick Baper
Colusa	Albert Smith 229 5th St Colusa, CA 95932 530-458-8801	Lake	Frederick Raper P.O. Box 1219 Lakeport, CA 95453-1219 707-262-1820
Del Norte	Karen Olson 431 H St Ste A Crescent City, CA 95531-4019 707-464-2350		

	Ciummo & Associates	San Benito	Gregory LaForge
	221 North I Street		339 Eventh Street Suite G
	Madera, CA 93637		Hollister, CA 95023
	559-674-4696		831-636-9499
Mariposa	Eugene Action	San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo Defenders
	P.O. Box 696		991 Osos Street, Ste A
	Ahwahnee, CA 93601		San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
	559-283-9772		805-541-5715
	Neal Douglas	San Mateo	Private Defender Program
	P.O. Box 2131		333 Bradford, Suite 200
	Mariposa, CA 95338		Redwood City, CA 94063
	559-760-5149		560-298-4047
		Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz Public Defenders
	H. Wayne Green		2103 N Pacific Avenue
	758 E Bullard Ave Ste 100		Santa Cruz, CA 95060
	Fresno, CA 93710		831-429-1311
	559-432-2750		
		Sierra	J. Lon Cooper
Modoc	Richard Cotta		P.O. Box 682
	P.O. Box 7		Nevada City, CA 95959
	Alturas, CA 96101		530-265-4565
	530-233-3040	Sutter	Mark Van den Heuvel
			604 B Street Ste 1
Mono	David Hammon		Yuba City, CA 95991
	P.O. Box 1176		530-822-7355
	Bishop, CA 93515-1176		
	760-873-4760	Tehama	Ronald McIver
Placer	Koukol & Associates		P.O. Box 8578
	3785 Placer Corporate Dr #550, Rocklin, CA		Red Bluff, CA 96080
	Rocklin, CA 95765		530-527-5113
	916-644-1100		
			Christopher Logan
Plumas	Robert Zernich		1248 Washington St.
	447 Main Street		Red Bluff, CA 96080
	Quincy, CA 95971		530-529-1263
	530-283-1010		
	Bill Abramson		
	Bill Abramson P.O. Box 3242 Quincy, CA 95971		

Trinity	Derrick Riske	
	575 B Main Street	
	Weaverville, CA 96093	
	559-636-4500	
Yuba	Yuba Public Defenders	
	303 6th Street	
	Marysville, California, 95901	
	530-741-2331	