

1 DONALD SPECTER – 083925
 RITA K. LOMIO – 254501
 2 MARGOT MENDELSON – 268583
 JACOB J. HUTT*
 3 PRISON LAW OFFICE
 1917 Fifth Street
 4 Berkeley, California 94710-1916
 Telephone: (510) 280-2621
 5 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704

6 MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891
 GAY C. GRUNFELD – 121944
 7 THOMAS NOLAN – 169692
 PENNY GODBOLD – 226925
 8 MICHAEL FREEDMAN – 262850
 ROSEN BIEN
 9 GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP
 101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor
 10 San Francisco, California 94105-1738
 Telephone: (415) 433-6830
 11 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104

12 LINDA D. KILB – 136101
 DISABILITY RIGHTS
 13 EDUCATION & DEFENSE FUND,
 INC.
 14 3075 Adeline Street, Suite 201
 Berkeley, California 94703
 15 Telephone: (510) 644-2555
 Facsimile: (510) 841-8645

16 * Admitted *pro hac vice*

17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

22 JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,
 23 Plaintiffs,
 24 v.
 25 GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,
 26 Defendants.

Case No. C94 2307 CW

**DECLARATION OF PENNY M.
 GODBOLD IN SUPPORT OF JOINT
 STATUS STATEMENT REGARDING
 COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S
 DECEMBER 7, 2023 ORDER**

Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken

1 I, Penny M. Godbold, declare:

2 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before this Court. I am Of
3 Counsel to the law firm of Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, counsel of record for
4 Plaintiffs. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and, if called as a
5 witness, I could competently so testify. I make this declaration in support of Plaintiffs'
6 counsel's position in the SATF Stipulation Joint Statement.

7 2. I have worked as a member of Plaintiffs' counsel's team in *Armstrong v.*
8 *Newsom* for approximately fourteen years. I have been one of the attorneys assigned to
9 monitor Defendants' compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Rehabilitation
10 Act, this Court's orders, and the *Armstrong* Remedial Plan.

11 3. In my capacity as an *Armstrong* monitor I have toured multiple different
12 housing units at multiple different prisons. I have directly observed that many housing
13 units in CDCR having privacy screens for videophone users. I have observed people
14 placing videophone calls and seen how class members are permitted to use privacy screens
15 to attempt to block direct lines of sight between incarcerated people in the day room and
16 people on the videophone screen. I have observed that the placement of the privacy
17 screens also blocks the direct line of sight of staff. In order for staff to observe what is
18 taking place on the call, they would need to walk over to the videophone and peek around
19 the privacy screen.

20 4. On December 21, 2023, Ed Swanson, Court Expert, sent a letter to Robert
21 O'Brien, California Correctional Health Care Services, with the subject line, "Feedback on
22 Future Tablet Contract." A true and correct copy of the Court Expert's letter is attached
23 hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

24 5. On January 5, 2024, Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, sent a letter to Tamiya
25 Davis, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, with the subject line, "Plaintiffs' Recommendations
26 Regarding Tablet Accessibility for CDCR Tablet Contract." A true and correct copy of
27 Mr. Hutt's letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

28 6. On April 22, 2024, Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, sent a letter

1 to Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, with the subject line, “Plaintiffs’ Counsel’s Review of
2 Proposed Statewide Contract for Tablets.” A true and correct copy of Ms. Stuter’s letter is
3 attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**. The letter states, “Defendants believe that they have already
4 complied with their obligations under the stipulation by offering Plaintiffs an opportunity
5 to provide input to Defendants about what accessibility features should be required in the
6 next statewide contract for tablets.”

7 7. On May 15, 2024, Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, sent a letter to Ursula
8 Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, and Ed Swanson, Court Expert, with the subject line
9 “Resolving the Parties’ Disagreement Regarding SATF Stipulation #12.” A true and
10 correct copy of Mr. Hutt’s letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 4**.

11 8. On June 13, 2024, I participated in a meeting with lawyers from CDCR’s
12 Office of Legal Affairs and the Office of the Attorney General as well as from
13 Swanson & McNamara LLP, the office of the Court Expert. While CDCR was not able to
14 disclose any confidential details regarding the not yet publicly released statewide tablet
15 RFP, they assured Plaintiffs’ counsel that the requests included in Plaintiffs’ January 5,
16 2024 letter were being addressed.

17 9. On June 14, 2024, Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, sent an email to Ursula
18 Stuter and Tamiya Davis, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, with the subject “Tablet RFP –
19 Follow Up.” In the email, Mr. Hutt memorialized three questions Plaintiffs asked during
20 the June 14, 2024 meeting with Defendants and the Court Expert. A true and correct copy
21 of Hutt’s June 14, 2024 email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 5**.

22 10. On June 17, 2024, Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, responded
23 via email with the subject “RE: Tablet RFP – Follow-Up,” stating that she wanted to
24 clarify a “difference in understanding” of three points raised by Ed Swanson, Court Expert
25 during the June 13, 2024 meeting. A true and correct copy of Ms. Stuter’s June 17, 2024
26 email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 6**.

27 11. On June 17, 2024, Ed Swanson, Court Expert, responded to Ms. Stuter’s
28 June 17, 2024 email stating that he was “optimistic that there will not be a dispute about

1 whether the initial RFP is inadequate. I think the key issues will be whether the RFP
2 materially changes during the Q&A period (your point 2, below), or whether the features
3 change as a result of the bids received.” Mr. Swanson also wrote that once Defendants
4 “answer [P]laintiffs’ questions and we have a clearer picture of the process, I suggest we
5 reconvene to make sure we understand whether and when plaintiffs will have an
6 opportunity to provide input or take other action.” A true and correct copy of
7 Mr. Swanson’s June 17, 2024 email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 7**.

8 12. On July 9, 2024, Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, sent an email to Ursula
9 Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, with the subject “RE: Tablet RFP – Follow Up,”
10 requesting that Defendants provide answers to the questions posed in his June 14, 2024
11 email. *See Exhibit 5*. In the email, Mr. Hutt also posed an additional clarifying question,
12 asking if “there a date after which the current ViaPath tablets will no longer be available in
13 CDCR institutions?” A true and correct copy of Mr. Hutt’s July 9, 2024 email is attached
14 hereto as **Exhibit 8**.

15 13. On July 15, 2024, Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, sent a letter
16 to Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, with the subject line, “Plaintiffs’ Inquiry on the Contract
17 Process.” A true and correct copy of Ms. Stuter’s letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 9**.

18 14. On August 2, 2024, Caroline Jackson, senior counsel at Rosen Bien Galvan
19 and Grunfeld LLP, sent a letter to Ursula Stuter and Tamiya Davis, CDCR Office of Legal
20 Affairs, with the subject line, “Plaintiffs’ Comments Regarding the Tablet Proposal (SATF
21 Stipulation Item No. 12).” A true and correct copy of Ms. Jackson’s August 2, 2024 letter
22 is attached hereto as **Exhibit 10**.

23 15. In response to Plaintiffs’ counsel’s request to meet to discuss concerns
24 regarding the RFP, on August 5, 2024, Ed Swanson, Court Expert, responded via email
25 stating that he would be available to meet on “Wednesday [August 7, 2024] from 3:30 pm
26 on or on Thursday [August 8, 2024] before 11 am.” A true and correct copy of the
27 August 5, 2024 email from Mr. Swanson is attached hereto as **Exhibit 11**.

28 16. On August 6, 2024, Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, responded

1 via email stating that Defendants were “not available to meet on this subject this week.” A
2 true and correct copy of the August 6, 2024 email from Ms. Stuter is attached hereto as
3 **Exhibit 12.**

4 17. On May 4, 2023, Nathan Scaife, ADA Coordinator at SATF, issued a
5 memorandum titled, “Expanded Access to the Video Relay Service Telephone as a Means
6 of Reasonable Accommodation for Deaf Inmates.” A true and correct copy of the
7 memorandum is attached hereto as **Exhibit 13.**

8 18. On August 16, 2024, Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, sent a
9 letter to Caroline Jackson, Rosen Bien Galvan and Grunfeld LLP, with the subject,
10 “Plaintiffs’ Comments Regarding the Tablet Proposal (SATF Stipulation Item No. 12).” A
11 true and correct copy of Ms. Stuter’s letter with certain information redacted at
12 Defendants’ request is attached hereto as **Exhibit 14.**

13 19. On August 28, 2024, Caroline Jackson, senior counsel at Rosen Bien Galvan
14 and Grunfeld LLP, sent a letter to Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, with the
15 subject line, “Follow-up Regarding SATF Stip Item 12,” in which Plaintiffs requested a
16 “definitive position” from Defendants regarding whether they intend to continue to block
17 access to a live operator for relay services other than VRS. A true and correct copy of
18 Ms. Jackson’s letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 15.**

19 20. On September 5, 2024, I sent an email to Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of
20 Legal Affairs, to follow-up on the status of Defendants’ response to Caroline Jackson’s
21 August 28 letter and to request a meeting to “solidify our understanding of Defendants’
22 position and for CDCR to share the basis for security concerns regarding the use of
23 videophones in cells.” A true and correct copy of my September 5, 2024 email is attached
24 hereto as **Exhibit 16.**

25 21. On September 6, 2024, Ursula Stuter responded via email and stated,
26 “Defendants do not believe that scheduling a meeting on this topic at this time would be
27 productive. Most of the issues included in Plaintiffs’ letters are covered in Defendants’
28 joint status update statement re: SATF 12.” A true and correct copy of the September 6,

1 2024 email from Ms. Stuter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 17**.

2 22. On September 11, 2024, Ursula Stuter sent a meeting notice to the parties
3 entitled “SATF Stipulation 12 - discussion at Ed’s request.” A true and correct copy of the
4 meeting notice is attached hereto as **Exhibit 18**.

5 23. On September 16, 2024, I sent an email to Defendants asking them to issue
6 an addendum to the RFP requiring larger screen sized tablets or, in the alternative,
7 expressing willingness to discuss other possibilities for the provision of alternative, large
8 screen, tablets to people with disabilities who need them so long as proposals put forward
9 by Defendants would ensure access to the same content, features and functionality as all
10 other tablets. I memorialized my understanding that the parties were still discussing in-cell
11 videophone access and posed two additional questions based on my understanding of
12 Defendants security concerns. Lastly, I agreed to draft language regarding the alternative
13 tablet solution the parties discussed at the meeting. A true and correct copy of the meeting
14 notice is attached hereto as **Exhibit 19**.

15 24. On September 23, 2024, Olena Likhachova, Deputy Attorney General and
16 counsel for Defendants, responded to my September 16, 2024 email stating, among other
17 things, that “tablets will not be used for in-cell video calls for any incarcerated person and
18 therefore will not be used for SLI video calls.” Defendants also denied Plaintiffs’ request
19 for tablets with larger screens. A true and correct copy of the email is attached hereto as
20 **Exhibit 20**.

21 25. On September 25, 2024, Audrey Barron from Swanson & McNamara LLP
22 sent an email to Olena Likhachova with the subject line “Tablet Negotiations Next Steps.”
23 A true and correct copy of the email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 21**.

24 26. [This paragraph intentionally left blank.]

25 27. On September 25, 2024, I sent an email response to Olena Likhachova,
26 proposing some language to resolve existing disputes regarding an alternative solution for
27 in-cell video calls and a solution for larger screen sized tablets. A true and correct copy of
28 the email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 23**.

1 28. On September 27, 2024, Olena Likhachova responded to the September 25,
2 2024 email, proposing revised language for a process to resolve equal access to
3 videophones. This email also attached a copy of the September 25, 2024 publicly released
4 RFP Addendum, Ex. 20, Business Requirements, A true and correct copy of the email, and
5 attached addendum, is attached hereto as **Exhibit 24**.

6 29. I attended, on behalf of Plaintiffs' counsel, a meet and confer with
7 Defendants and the Court Expert in *Armstrong v. Newsom* on December 19, 2019. At that
8 meeting, the parties discussed the topic of congregate visual displays being used to convey
9 announcements to deaf and hard-of-hearing individuals.

10 30. On February 15, 2019, counsel for Defendants produced a document titled,
11 "CAMU Responses to RBGG DPP Matrix Review," in response to Plaintiffs' counsel's
12 concerns regarding the DPP matrix. A true and correct copy of the first two pages of
13 Defendants' response, and the email transmitting the document to Plaintiffs' counsel, is
14 attached hereto as **Exhibit 25**.

15 31. Each month Defendants produce to Plaintiffs' counsel a record of all the
16 class members throughout the state, including their disabilities and their primary means of
17 communication. This list is called a SOMS Roster. According to the September 2024
18 SOMS Roster there are 48 people incarcerated in CDCR whose primary method of
19 communication is identified as sign language. This number does not count additional
20 people who may use the videophone to communicate with friends or family who are deaf
21 or otherwise use sign language to communicate. According to the SOMS Roster class
22 members who communicate with sign language are clustered in certain housing units and
23 on certain prison yards throughout the prison. For example, this SOMS Roster shows there
24 are 10 people whose primary means of communication is sign language clustered on
25 Facility E at RJD with six housed in E-24 and three housed in E-25. There are four people
26 whose primary means of communication is sign language on Facility C at CCWF, all
27 housed in C-512; five people on Facility M at LAC, all housed in M-1; and six people on
28 Facility A at San Quentin, all housed on South Block.


1 32. On September 27, 2024, I responded to Olena Likhachova's email from
2 earlier the same day asking, "How will Defendants ensure that alternative (larger screen)
3 tablets provided through the 1824 process are able to access all of the same content, apps,
4 features (including accessibility features), wifi options, or any other tablet services
5 provided to all other tablets under the statewide tablet contract?" A true and correct copy
6 of the email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 26**.

7 33. On September 25, 2024, the State of California released an updated version
8 of RFP C5611826, Addendum 1, the statewide communications contract that includes
9 tablets. A true and correct copy of the RFP is attached hereto as **Exhibit 27**.

10 34. On March 12, 2021, Defendants produced their 47th issue of a newsletter
11 titled "The Informed Patient: A San Quentin Newsletter," which included a section titled
12 "COVID Snapshots" on page 6. A true and correct copy of the newsletter is attached
13 hereto as **Exhibit 28**.

14 35. A true and correct copy of the declaration of Michael Parker, an assistive
15 technology expert, dated September 29, 2024, is attached hereto as **Exhibit 29**.

16 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America
17 that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed at San Francisco,
18 California this 16th day of October, 2024.

19
20 
21 Penny M. Godbold

22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO DECLARATION OF PENNY GODBOLD IN
SUPPORT OF JOINT STATUS STATEMENT REGARDING COMPLIANCE
WITH THE COURT'S DECEMBER 7, 2023 ORDER**

Exhibit	Description	
1	Letter from Ed Swanson, Court Expert, to Robert O'Brien, California Correctional Health Care Services, regarding Feedback on Future Tablet Contract (December 21, 2023)	
2	Letter from Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, to Tamiya Davis, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, regarding Plaintiffs' Recommendations Regarding Tablet Accessibility for CDCR Tablet Contract (January 5, 2024)	
3	Letter from Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, to Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, regarding Plaintiffs' Counsel's Review of Proposed Statewide Contract for Tablets	
4	Letter from Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, and Caroline Jackson, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, to Ed Swanson, Court Expert, and Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, regarding Resolving the Parties' Disagreement Regarding SATF Stipulation #12 (May 15, 2024)	
5	Email from Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, to Tamiya Davis and Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, regarding Tablet RFP Follow-Up (June 14, 2024)	
6	Email from Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, to Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, regarding Tablet RFP Follow-Up (June 17, 2024)	

Exhibit	Description	
7	Email from Ed Swanson, Court Expert, to Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, regarding Tablet RFP Follow-Up (June 17, 2024)	
8	Email from Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, to Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, regarding Tablet RFP Follow-Up (July 9, 2024)	
9	Letter from Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, to Jacob Hutt, Prison Law Office, regarding Plaintiffs' Inquiry on the Contract Process (July 15, 2024)	
10	Letter from Caroline Jackson, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, to Ursula Stuter and Tamiya Davis, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, regarding Plaintiffs' Comments Regarding the Tablet Proposal (SATF Stipulation Item No. 12) (August 2, 2024)	
11	Email from Ed Swanson, Court Expert, to Parties regarding Plaintiffs' Letter regarding Tablet Contract (SATF Stipulation Item 12) (August 5, 2024)	
12	Email from Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, to Simran Surtani and Caroline Jackson, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, regarding Plaintiffs' Letter regarding Tablet Contract (SATF Stipulation Item 12) (August 6, 2024)	
13	Memorandum from Nathan Scaife, ADA Coordinator at SATF, regarding Expanded Access to the Video Relay Service Telephone as a Means of Reasonable Accommodation for Deaf Inmates (May 4, 2023)	

Exhibit	Description	
14	Letter from Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, to Caroline Jackson, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, regarding Plaintiffs' Comments Regarding the Tablet Proposal (SATF Stipulation Item No. 12) (August 16, 2024)	
15	Letter from Caroline Jackson, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, to Ursula Stuter and Tamiya Davis, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, regarding Follow-Up Regarding SATF Stip Item 12 (August 28, 2024)	
16	Email from Penny Godbold, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, to Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, regarding Plaintiffs' Letter re Tablet Contract (SATF Stipulation Item 12) (September 5, 2024)	
17	Email from Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, to Penny Godbold, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, regarding Plaintiffs' Letter re Tablet Contract (SATF Stipulation Item 12) (September 6, 2024)	
18	Email from Ursula Stuter, CDCR Office of Legal Affairs, to Penny Godbold, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, with Microsoft Teams Meeting Invitation for September 13, 2024 (September 11, 2023)	
19	Email from Penny Godbold, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, to Defendants re Tablet Negotiations Next Steps (September 6, 2024)	

Exhibit	Description	
20	Email from Olena Likhachova, Office of the Attorney General, to Penny Godbold, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, re Tablet Negotiations Next Steps (September 23, 2024)	
21	Email from Audrey Barron, Swanson McNamara LLP, to Olena Likhachova, Office of the Attorney General, re Tablet Negotiations Next Steps (September 25, 2024)	
22	(Intentionally left blank)	
23	Email from Penny Godbold, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, to Olena Likhachova, Office of the Attorney General, re Tablet Negotiations Next Steps (September 25, 2024)	REDACTED & UNDER SEAL
24	Email from Olena Likhachova, Office of the Attorney General, to Penny Godbold, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, re Tablet Negotiations Next Steps (September 27, 2024)	
25	CDCR DPP Matrix Response (Excerpt) (February 15, 2019)	
26	Email from Penny Godbold, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, to Olena Likhachova, Office of the Attorney General, re Tablet Negotiations Next Steps (September 27, 2024)	
27	RFP C5611826 (Updated September 25, 2024)	
28	“COVID Snapshots,” The Informed Patient: A San Quentin Newsletter at 6 (Issue 47, March 12, 2021)	

Exhibit	Description	
29	Declaration of Michael Parker (September 29, 2024)	